

-----Original Message-----

From: XXX YYY<xxx@yyy.zzz>

Sent: Fri, Mar 29, 2013 at 4:05 PM

To: Managing Editor

Subject: Re: [SPAM] Re: Request for final decision for manuscript no. 2012 AJEA 2868

I have read carefully manuscript and reviews.

DG has a certain right, due to relevant methodology, but
Authors have written that recommended energy intakes was taken literature.

The statistical analysis is basic problem. His proposal is very well, but
for time series. At this paper Authors have cross-sectional data, and in
my opinion the statistical method is suitable for this problem.
The sample size is sufficient for cross-sectional data. If would have been
the time series, the sample size should be greater.

I have not found an Appendix II.

The results have a cognitive value. Because they are characterized by a
large dispersion, the results of the statistical analysis do not allow for
some conclusions, but the Authors have written, noting the small-values of
correlation coefficients.

In my opinion the data are valuable and this paper may be published.

Editor's Details:

Aleksander Lisowski

Warsaw University of Life Sciences, Faculty of Production Engineering, Department Agricultural and Forestry,
Poland

Note: Modification was done in this email ONLY to hide the identity.